Comparative study of flexible quantile regression techniques: constructing reference curves in Pediatrics
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## Introduction

In general, quantile regression (QR) is a regression model to asses the relationships between covariate vector, $\boldsymbol{x}$, and quantile curves of a response variable, $y$, for a given value $x$

Fixed $\tau \in(0,1)$, the additive quantile regression model takes the form:
$y=\beta_{0, \tau}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} h_{\tau j}\left(x_{j}\right)+\varepsilon_{\tau}, \quad \varepsilon_{\tau} \sim F_{\tau}$ where $F_{\tau}(0 \mid x)=\tau$ and $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right)=\boldsymbol{x}$
and the resulting quantile function has a nonlinear predictor structure, given by:

$$
Q_{y}(\tau \mid x)=\beta_{0, \tau}+\sum_{j=1}^{p} h_{\tau j}\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

where $Q_{y}(\tau \mid x)=\inf \left\{y: F_{y}(y \mid x) \geq \tau\right\}$
Accordingly, one of the main goals of this work has been to perform a simulation study to compare statistically different additive quantile regression approaches. Also, all the reviewed techniques (implemented in RDevelopmentCoreTeam2010) were used to construct the overall sex- and heightspecific reference curves of anthropometric measures in real data.

## Quantile Regression Techniques

In the literature there are different statistical methodologies propossing flexible quantile regression models. In this work, the following techniques were reviewed:

- Koenker and Basset technique (Koenker and Bassett, 1978; Koenker, Ng and Portnoy, 1994) - the $\tau$-th conditional centile, takes the form:

$$
Q_{y}(\tau \mid \boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{j=1}^{p} h_{\tau j}\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

- cubic regression splines are used (de Boor, 2001)
$\bullet$ implemented in the quantreg package
- Lambda Mean Standard deviation (LMS) method (Cole, 1988)
- the smooth curve for the $\tau$-th centile is giving by:

$$
Q_{y}(\tau \mid \boldsymbol{x})=M(\boldsymbol{x})\left[1+L(\boldsymbol{x}) S(\boldsymbol{x}) z_{\tau}\right]^{1 / L(\boldsymbol{x})}
$$

- based on the power transformation family Box-Cox (Box and Cox, 1964)
- the model is represented as a Vector Generalized Additive Model (Yee and Wild, 1996)
- smoothing splines (Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990) are used
- implemented in the VGAM package
- Generalized Additive Models for Location, Scale and Shape (GAMLSS) methodology (Rigby and Stasinopoulos, 2005
- given a normal response variable, $y$, the $\tau$-th centile curve is expressed as follows:

$$
Q_{y}(\tau \mid \boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{j=1}^{p} f_{\tau j}\left(x_{j}\right)+\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} g_{\tau j}\left(x_{j}\right)\right) z_{\tau}=\mu(\boldsymbol{x})+\sigma(\boldsymbol{x}) z_{\tau}
$$

- B-splines regression (de Boor, 2001) are used
- implemented in the gamlss package
- Boosting algorithms (Fenske, Kneib and Hothorn, 2009)
- base learner are used to estimate the smooth functions $h_{\tau j}$. The $\tau$-th following centile curve is obtained:

$$
Q_{y}(\tau \mid \boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{j=1}^{p} h_{\tau j}\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

- P-splines regression (Schmid and Hothorn, 2008) is used
- implemented in the mboost package

Simulation Study

We considered an additive model with non linear terms for location and scale, as follows: Equation: $\quad y=2+1.5 \log (X)+(0.7+0.5 X) \cdot \varepsilon \quad$ Error $\varepsilon \sim N(0,1) \quad$ Sample of $n=200$ observations

$$
95 \% \text { Confidence Bands for the median }
$$



Lines designate true and estimated quantile curves for $\tau$
$\{0,30,0,50,0,70\}$


Application in Pediatrics

## Sample Description

Key features:

- 2395 healthy school-aged individuals
- composed by 1201 boys and 1194 girls
- ages between 6 and 18 years

Variable studied: forced vital capacity (fvc) depending on height (cm.) and sex
BOYS
GIRLS


Representations of the estimated quantile curves for $\tau$ by sex Representations for $\tau \sim\{0,10,0,25,0,50,0,75,0,90\}$ :


Relationship between fvc and height for girls.

## Discussion

- While automatic criteria for selecting smoothing parameter are provided in the boosting technique, there is no automatic selection neither for linear programming, LMS technique nor gamlss methodology.
- In comparison to linear programming, boosting a) can handle a larger number of non linear covariate effects; b) parameter estimation and variable selection are executed in one single estimation step.
- LMS methodology, presented as a Vector Generalized Additive Models, needs positive response variable.
- Real data:
- is necessary a flexible model to describe the relationship between fvc and height
- the quantile curves are different by sex
- The quantile curves are independently estimated by linear programming and boosting. That pro duces crossing quantile curves problems, as shown in these figures:
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